MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

General

| S.N. | Particulars | Details | | |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| 1(a) | Name of the Mine | Majhgawan Diamond Mine(113.332 Ha) | | |
| 1(b) | Name of Inspecting Officer & Designation | Shri Sanjay M Girhe, RMG, IBM Jabalpur | | |
| 1(c) | Accompanying Mines | Shri P. S. Dhruw, AGM(Mining) Shri S.C.Mondal, AGM(Survey) | | |
| | Representatives | Shri Sunil Goyal, SM(Mining) | | |
| | | Shri S.K.Pathak, Manager(Geology) | | |
| 1(d) | Type of Inspection | Review and Updation of Mining Plan for Period April | | |
| | | 2020-March 2025 & Star rating Inspection | | |
| 1(e) | Date of Inspection | 11/12/2019 | | |
| 2 | Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup | Forest Land :NIL | | |
| | of Non-forest and forest land | Non Forest land:113.332 | | |
| 2 | Mine code | Total=113.332 | | |
| 3 | Mine code | 270/17MPR26001 | | |
| 4 | IBM Registration Number under | IBM/270/2011 | | |
| | rule 45 of MCDR,1988 | | | |
| 5 | Name of the Lease, Address, Phone, | M/s NMDC LTD,10-3-311/A Khanij Bhavan,Castle | | |
| | email and fax number | Hills,Masab Tank Hyderabad,Ph:07732 - 271223,dmaiti@nmdc.co.in,Fax:07732-271474 | | |
| 6 | Village | Hinota | | |
| 7 | Taluka/Mandal | Panna | | |
| 8 | District | Panna | | |
| 9 | Pin code | 488001 | | |
| 10 | State | M.P. | | |
| 11 | Post Office | Majhgawan | | |
| 12 | Nearest Police Station | Panna | | |
| 13 | Nearest Railway Station | Khajuraho | | |
| 14 | Date of Grant of Mining Lease | 16.12.1964 | | |
| 15 | Date of Execution | 15.07.1965 | | |
| 16 | Date of opening of Mine | 01.06.1968 | | |
| 17 | Date of First Renewal, if applicable | 15.07.1985 to 14.07.1995 For 10 Years | | |
| | and its period & expiry | | | |
| 18 | Date of Second Renewal, if | 15.07.1995 to 14.07.2005 For 10 Years | | |
| 4.5 | applicable and its period & expiry | | | |
| 19 | Date of submission of renewal | 15.07.2005 to 14.07.2025 For 20 Years | | |
| | application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension | Lease Executed for above period | | |
| 20 | Name of the Nominated Owner with | Sri.P.K.Satpathy, Director (Production) | | |
| | Address, phone, email, fax number | NMDC LTD,10-3-311/A Khanij Bhavan,Castle | | |

| and date of appointment Hills, Masab Tank Hyderabad, | |
|---|-----------|
| PH:040- | |
| 23538706,FAX:04023538711,Email:pksatpath | y@nmd |
| c.co.in, Date of Appointment :13.12.2014 | |
| 21 Name of the Mine Agent with Shri Rajeev Sharma | |
| Address, phone, email, fax number Diamond Mining Project Majhgawan Pa | nna PH: |
| and date of appointment 07732 -271223, Email <u>rsharma@nmdc.co.in</u> | Ph No. |
| 07732-271234, Fax:07732-271474, Da | ate of |
| Appointment: 01.12.2017 | |
| 22 Name of the Mine Manager with Sri.D.Maiti, DGM(Mine) | |
| Address, phone, email, fax number Diamond Mining Project Majhgawan Panna I | |
| and date of appointment in mines 07732 -271223, Email dmaiti@nmdc.co.in,Fax | ::07732- |
| 271474 ;: Date of Appointment :10.06.2016 | |
| 23 Name of the Mining Engineer, Sri.Sanjay Kumar AGM(Mining) | |
| Qualification and total experience Diamond Mining Project Majhgawan Panna I | PH: |
| with address, phone, email, fax 07732 -271223, Email | 5 |
| number and date of appointment in sanjaykumar@nmdc.co.in,Fax:07732-271474 | : Date of |
| the mine Appointment: 07.07.2009 | |
| 24 Whether Geologist and Mining Sri.Santosh Kumar Pathak Manager(Geology) | |
| Engineer appointment in mines Diamond Mining Project Majhgawan Panna I | 'H: |
| satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out 07732 -271223, Email | - |
| their duties as per rule 43 & 44. skpathak@nmdc.co.in,Fax:07732-271474; Da | ate of |
| Appointment :03.12.2016 25 Date of Approval of Mine Date of Approval :14.03.2006 | |
| Plan/Modified Mine Plan with five- 15.07.2005 to 14.07.2025 | |
| year period and specific condition in | |
| approval letter, if any. | |
| 26 Date of Approval of Scheme of Date of Approval :27.11.2018 | |
| Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining 2018 -19 to 31.03.2020 | |
| with five- year period and specific | |
| condition in approval letter, if any. | |
| 27 Mineral(s) granted in lease and Diamond | |
| approved for Mining | |
| 28 Method of Mining (Opencast, Opencast | |
| Underground) | |
| 29 Category (Fully Mechanized, Others Fully Mechanized | |
| or Manual) | |
| 30 Captive/Non-Captive Non Captive | |

Exploration

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 1a | Backlog of previous | Exploration was not | No exploration | |
| | year | proposed in approved | carried out during the | |
| | | SOM dtd 26.08.2015 | year | |
| | | for 2015-16 to 2019-20 | | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease | Exploration not | Phase wise | 14 Core drilled |
| | area for Geological | proposed during the | exploration were | boreholes drilled. |
| | axis 1 or 2. | year | carried in past | 157 BHs were |
| | | | | drilled |
| | | | | during1961-72 |

| 1c | Exploration Agency & Expenditure in Lakh Rupees during the year | - | Previously Panna Diamond Syndicate, NMDC carried out phase wise exploration | From year 1956 - 59, 1961-72, 1991- 1994 phase wise exploration carried out |
|----|---|---|---|---|
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2. | Exploration not proposed during the year | Most of the mineralized area explored in detailed manner | |
| 1e | Balance reserves as on 01.04.2019 | Reserves in Million Tonnes Proved(111) -10.10 Resources Million Tonnes Indicated(332)-3.58 Inferred(333): 2.38 As per approved SOM dtd 26/08/2015 | Reserves in Million Tonnes Proved(111) – 9.07 Resources Million Tonnes Indicated(332)-3.58 Inferred(333): 2.38 As per annual return 2018-19 | No additional reserves estimated |
| 1f | General remarks of Inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc. | Entire mineralised lase area the lessee in past. Diamo Kaimur sand stone. | | |

2.0 Development

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|---|--|--|--|
| 2a | Location of Development w.r.t. lease area | It was proposed to work from 320mRL to 260mRL by total length of 973m development at the end of year | Working carried out as per the proposals | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil overburden and mineral (Rule 15) | Separate bench from 320mRL to 300mRL proposed in OB & 9 to 10m height bench in ore | Benches heights are being maintained as per the proposals | Excavation projection marked on relevant plates |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | Proposed as 1:2.19 | NIL | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m ³ | Not Proposed | Not Applicable | No top soil encountered during the year |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m ³ | Proposed as 90000 CuM | Only 210 tonne OB removed | Less OB generation due to less development |
| 2f | General remarks of Inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t type of deposit etc. | Majhgaon pipe is oval shaped of and shorter axis of 280m. The mechanised mine operations. | • | • |

3.0 Exploitation

| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|-----|---|--|--|--|
| 3a | Number of pits proposed for production | Working proposed in single pit | Working carried out in single pit | Only one pit is existing in ML area |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed (2018-19) in Tones | Proposed ROM 700000tonnes during 2018-19 | 282383 carats | Less production during the year |
| 3c | Recovery of Salable/usable mineral from ROM production | Not proposed | Only salable diamond recovered after beneficiation | Gem, Industrial & other varieties of diamond being recovered |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | 560000 tonnes | 280153 tonnes | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared | Not Proposed | NA | Details not furnished in approved document |
| 3f | Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation | Not Proposed | NA | No subgrade generation proposed |
| 3g | Grade of sub-grade mineral generation | Not Proposed | NA | Entire ROM fed to beneficiation plant |
| 3h | Manual/Mechanized method adopted for segregation from ROM | Mechanized crushing & beneficiation proposed | Mechanized crushing & beneficiation being carried out | Beneficiation plant located in lease area |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject | Not Proposed | Nil | Already beneficiation plant located in lease area |
| 3j | Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches | Big dia 110mm deep drilling & blasting is proposed by wagon drilll | Big dia 110mm deep drilling & blasting is carried out wagon drilll | Deployment of suitable HEMM discussed in approved SOM |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Excavator, 2.5Cum capacity Blast hole drill-110mm dia Dumpers-40 tonnes-04 Nos Tractor mounted Air | Excavator, 2.5Cum capacity Blast hole drill- 110mm dia Dumpers-40 tonnes- 04 Nos Tractor mounted Air | HEMM deployed as per production target set for the year |

| | İ | ~ | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | Compresso | | Compressor | |
| | | | 3.1CuM-02 | FE loader-3.1CuM- | |
| | | Nos | | 02 Nos | |
| | | | zer-03 Nos | Crawler dozer-03 | |
| | | Rock break | ter-01 no | Nos | |
| | | | | Rock breaker-01 no | |
| 31 | Whether height of | Proposed a | s 10m in ore | Proposed height | |
| | benches in overburden | | | being maintained | |
| | and mineral suitable for | | | | |
| | method of mining | | | | |
| | proposed in MP/SOM | | | | |
| 3m | Total area covered | Proposed 1 | 3.61 Ha as per | 13.3 Ha as per | 13.30 already |
| | under excavation /pits | approved S | OM | annual return 2018- | excavated & |
| | | | | 19 | abandoned & |
| | | | | | 6.83 Ha under |
| | | | | | current working |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the | Proposed a | s 1:2.19 | Nil | Due to very less |
| | pit/mine during the year | | | | OB of 290 |
| | | | | | tonnes generated |
| 30 | Total area put in use | As per the | previous | Pits-6.83Ha | Area suitable |
| | under different heads at | approved S | OM | Already exploited & | marked on |
| | the end of year | Pits-13.61H | Ha | abandoned -13.30Ha | relevant plans |
| | - | OB dumps | -25.48Ha | Reclaimed/Rehabilit | _ |
| | | Infrastructu | ıre-2.32Ha | ated-9.40Ha | |
| | | Tailing por | nd-6.63Ha | OB dumps-26.63Ha | |
| | | | | Infrastructure- | |
| | | 1 | | 8.30Ha | |
| | | | | | |
| 3p | Production of ROM | 2016-17 | 700000 | 262052 | Details as per |
| - r | | | | | annual returns |
| 1 | _ | 2017-18 | 700000 | 245015 | |
| | | | | | |
| | T) | 2018-19 | 700000 | 282383 | |
| | 1 1 / | | | | |
| | 1) | | | | |
| | 1) | 2019-20 | 700000 | 43890(Up to 31 Oct | |
| | | 2019-20 | 700000 | 43890(Up to 31 Oct 2019) | |
| 3q | General remarks of | | | ` <u>-</u> | Excavator /loader & |
| 3q | | Mechanised | open cast work | 2019) | |
| 3o | Production of ROM mineral during last five-years period, as Applicable(In Million | approved S Pits-13.61H OB dumps Infrastructu Tailing por Township- | OM Ha -25.48Ha ure-2.32Ha nd-6.63Ha 4.94Ha | Already exploited & abandoned -13.30Ha Reclaimed/Rehabilit ated-9.40Ha OB dumps-26.63Ha Infrastructure-8.30Ha Tailing pond-6.63Ha 262052 | Area suitable marked on relevant plans Details as per |

4.0 Solid Waste Management-Dumping

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of | Top soil has been | Top soil has been | No proposals |
| | topsoil, OB & mineral | utilized earlier for | utilized earlier for | was given during |
| | reject (Rule 32,33) | plantation | plantation | 2018-19 |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB | 03 Nos of coarse grain | 03 Nos of coarse grain | 02 dumps |
| | & mineral reject dumps | mineral rejects/OB | mineral rejects/OB | located along |
| | | dumps existing in ML | dumps existing in ML | south-west & 1 |
| | | area | area | is at eastern part |
| | | | | of Ml area |

| 4c | Number of Dumps | 03 Nos of coarse grain | 03 Nos of coarse grain | Dumps position |
|----|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| | within lease area and | mineral rejects/OB | mineral rejects/OB | marked on plan |
| | outside lease area | dumps existing in ML | dumps existing in ML | |
| | | area | area | |
| 4d | Location of Dumps | OB –dump; MML | OB –dump; MML | Dumps position |
| | w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | coarse tailing:MML | coarse tailing:MML | marked on plan |
| 4e | Number of Active & | Two (2) active dumps | Two (2) active dumps | |
| | Alive dumps | in ML | in ML | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps | No dead dumps | No dead dumps | |
| 4g | Number of dumps stablished | 1 dump stabilized by plantation | 1 dump stabilized by plantation | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall | Yes, retaining wall or | Yes, retaining wall or | Also marked on |
| | or garland drain all | garland drain all along | garland drain all along | plans |
| | along dumps are there | dumps are provided | dumps are provided | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining | 350m | 350m | Also marked on |
| | wall or garland drain | | | plans |
| | all along dump | | | |
| 4j | Number of settling | 1(It lies in Both the | 1(It lies in Both the | |
| | ponds | leases SML & MML) | leases SML & MML) | |
| 4k | Specific commends of | Mining lease area is mos | stly devoid of top soil. Mo | ostly dumps are of |
| | inspecting officer on | , , , , , | | |
| | waste dump | dump is stabilized by sui | table plantation. | |
| | management | | | |

5.0 Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|---|--|--|---|
| 5a | Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling (ha) | Not Proposed | About 13.30 Ha area already exploited & abandoned. | About 9.40 Ha area reclaimed & rehabilitated |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | Not proposed during the year | Not done | No proposals |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32) | Not Proposed | NA | Previous generated top soil utilized in plantation |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated | Not Proposed | Not done | About 9.40 Ha area reclaimed & rehabilitated previously |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc | Presently scope of reclaimation & backfilling doesnot exist and main pit ore yet to be exhausted. Hence, scope of reclamation by backfilling shall be generated in future. | | |

6.0 Progressive Mine Closer Plan

| S.N | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|-----|---|---|--|--|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly-Rule 23E(2). Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20 | To be submitted before 1st July of every year | Yes, submitted within time limit as per the provisions of Rule 26 of MCDR,2018 | Copy was produced during inspection |
| 6b | Management of worked/mined out benches | Not Proposed | Nil | Benches not matured in working pit |
| | i) Area available for rehabilitation (Ha) | Not Proposed | Nil | |
| | ii) Afforestation done (ha) | Not Proposed | Nil | |
| | iii) No.of saplings planted during the year | 1000 | 10000 replaced in total lease area for MML and SML | |
| | iv) Cumulative no. of plants | Not Proposed | Nil | |
| | v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation | Not Proposed | Nil | |
| | vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year | Not Proposed | Nil | |
| 6c | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L*B*D) ii) Void filled by waste/tailings iii) Afforestation on the backfilling iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir v) Any other specific means | Not Proposed | Nil | None of the pit area has been matured for reclamation by backfilling |
| 6d | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitation iii) Method of rehabilitation | 1000 | 10000 replaced in total lease area for MML and SML. | Significant amount of survived plantation seen in ML area |
| 6e | Compliance of Environment monitoring | Periodical Air, Water, Noise | Ambient Air, Water ,Noise are | Analysis reports were provided |
| | (core zone & buffer zone) | monitoring Proposed | done in quarter | were provided |

| 6f | General remarks of | Main working pit or its any part is not matured for reclamation |
|----|----------------------------|---|
| | inspecting officer on PMCP | by backfilling, hence scope doesn't exist. |
| | compliance & progressive | |
| | closer operations | |

7.0 Mineral Conservation

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|--|--|--|--|
| 7a | ROM mineral dispatch or grade wise sorting within lease area | 700000 MT proposed as total ROM production for despatch. Grade wise sorting proposed not proposed | 15086MT dispatched as Refractory grade bauxite & rest 42607.67MT as closing stock at mine head | Different varieties of diamond furnished in AR |
| 7b | Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical | Mechanical | Mechanical | Through wet beneficiation method |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sort out at mine | Through crushing & sizing Gem, Industrial & other verity of diamond proposed | Through crushing & sizing Gem, Industrial & other verity of diamond produced | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | Wet beneficiation is being practiced | Wet beneficiation is being practiced | Generated tailed is being dumped separately |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues | Mineral conservation is being practiced very systematic & scientific way by adopting wet beneficiation method. Core grain & fine grain tailing is also being preserved for recovery of micro diamond in future | | |

8.0 Environment

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual Work | Remarks |
|------|---|--------------|--|---|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule32) | Not Proposed | NA | No top soil generated during the year |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Not Proposed | NA | Previously top soil utilized for plantation |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Proposed | Dumping carried out at earmarked locations | Dumps locations marked on surface plan |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Not Proposed | NA | No scope of reclamation by backfilling |

| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Not Proposed | NA | Area not matured so far for the said purpose |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Proposed 1000 nos of saplings | 10000 plants replaced in total lease area for MML and SML | Plantation work observed during the inspection |
| 8g | Survival rate | Proposed as 85% | Achieved 80 % | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Water sprinkling is proposed by water tanker | Regular water sprinkling is done by water tanker | Water tanker of 5KL capacity is provided for the purpose |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mine area | Aesthetic beauty in and around mine area is very good as significant amount of plantation done in ML area. Different species of saplings have been planted | | |

9. Compliance of Rule 45

| S. N. | Item | Comments | Remarks |
|----------|--|---|--|
| 9a | Status of submission of monthly and Annual returns | M.R. not submitted upto- November- 2019 A.R. submitted upto- 2018-19 | Annual Return for the year 2018-19 submitted within time limit & Annual return for the year 2019-20 was under preparation |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Given | Shri Sanjay Kumar appointed as Mining Eng. & Shri Jitendra Kumar as Geologist |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Given & area is reconciled by survey team | Already exploited & abandoned - 13.30Ha Reclaimed/Rehabilitated-9.40Ha OB dumps-26.63Ha Infrastructure-8.30Ha Tailing pond-6.63Ha |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Not Given | Afforestation done inside lease area |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & Quantity) | Given | 280153 tonnes mineral rejections have been generated |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or grade ore | Given | Gem quantity (Carats: O/S-4271 Desptached-8861 C/S-8976 Industrial quantity (Carats: O/S-10138 |

| | | | Desptached-5176 |
|----|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | C/S-16411 |
| | | | Other quantity (Carats: |
| | | | O/S-11016 |
| | | | Desptached-10515 |
| | | | C/S-13610 |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return | NA | Gem quantity (Carats: |
| | on sale value, Ex.Mine | | Rs-32232 |
| | price & production costs | | Industrial quantity (Carats: |
| | | | Rs-2900 |
| | | | Other quantity (Carats: |
| | | | Rs-2902 |
| 9i | Scrutiny of Annual return | Given | Nil |
| | on Fixed assets | | |
| | | | |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return | Mining machinery are | Excavator, 2.5Cum capacity |
| | on mining Machineries | maintained by | Blast hole drill-110mm dia |
| | C | Mechanical Engineer | Dumpers-40 tonnes-04 Nos |
| | | and scrutiny done by | Tractor mounted Air Compressor |
| | | Mining Engineer. | FE loader-3.1CuM-02 Nos |
| | | | Crawler dozer-03 Nos |
| | | | Rock breaker-01 no |

10- Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out: - This inspection was carried out in connection with evaluation of star ratings templates & MCDR,2017. During inspection no significant violations have been pointed out for rectification.

Sanjay M. Girhe Sr. Mining Geologist RO, Jabalpur Dt: 16.12.2021